AROMATIC TRIPHENYLMETHYLATION

FURTHER EVIDENCE FOR ACYLOXYCYCLOHEXADIENYL RADICAL AS INTERMEDIATE DURING THE REACTION BETWEEN DIACYL PEROXIDE AND TRIPHENYLMETHYL FREE RADICAL IN AROMATIC SOLVENTS

T. SUEHIRO, S. IGETA, O. KUWABARA and M. HIRAI

Department of Chemistry, Gakushuin University, Mejiro, Tokyo, Japan

(Received in Japan 15 July 1969; Received in the UK for publication 20 October 1969)

Abstract—The mechanism of homolytic triphenylmethylation of aromatic substrates has been studied by the reaction between diacyl peroxide and triphenylmethyl free radical in toluene, anisole and chlorobenzene. A previously proposed mechanism, involving acyloxycyclohexadienyl radical as intermediate, has been further ascertained by comparing relative reactivities of aromatic substrate in triphenylmethylation and the ratios *meta* to the sum of *ortho* and *para* substituted tetraphenylmethanes formed with relative reactivities of substrates in benzoyloxylation, isopropoxycarbonyloxylation and hydroxylation, and with the ratios of the sum of *ortho* and *para* to *meta* acyloxylation or hydroxylation products, respectively.

THE triphenylmethyl free radical is known to induce decomposition of benzoyl peroxide¹ in an aromatic solvent (Eq. 1), yielding triphenylmethyl benzoate and the benzoyloxy radical, which in turn initiates triphenylmethylation of the solvent molecule or combines with triphenylmethyl to give triphenylmethyl benzoate (Eqs 2-3 and 4). In a previous paper² we have proposed the following two-step mechanism of triphenylmethylation, Eqs 2 and 3, involving a benzoyloxycyclohexadienyl intermediate A (sigma complex).

Chemical support for this mechanism

1. The nucleophilicity of triphenylmethyl towards an olefinic linkage despite the greater yield of tetraphenylmethanes from an aromatic substrate which carries more electron releasing substituent groups, 2. The yield of tetraphenylmethane from

$$PhCOOOCOPh + Ph_{3}C \rightarrow PhCOOCPh_{3} + PhCOO$$
(1)

v

$$PhCOO + X - H + Ph_{3}C - PhCOO + Ph_{3}C - H (A)$$

$$(2)$$

$$PhCOO + Ph_{3}C - PhCOO + Ph_{3}C - H (A)$$

$$(3)$$

$$PhCOO + Ph_{3}C - PhCOO + Ph_{3}C - H (A)$$

$$(4)$$



benzene is enhanced when a benzoyl peroxide carrying substituent group of electron withdrawing property is employed, 3. The apparent partial rate factor f_b of anisole in triphenylmethylation is far greater than unity.

Reaction 3 implies that triphenylmethyl combines with A at the C atom with an unpaired electron and the adduct B thus formed decomposes, as a result of the excess energy of bond formation, into stable final products, benzoic acid and tetraphenylmethanes. The course of decomposition of B shown in Eq. 3 is preferred energetically to the reaction 5.

 $B \longrightarrow PhCOO + Ph_3CH$ (5)

The isomer composition of substituted tetraphenylmethanes (the right side of the chart 1) is, therefore, determined by the composition of intermediates C, D and E of isomeric benzoyloxycyclohexadienyl radicals (the left side of the chart).



Continued interest suggested the reaction in toluene and chlorobenzene and we found further evidence for the existence of the intermediate acyloxycyclohexadienyl radical.

Relative reactivity and apparent partial rate factor of anisole, toluene and chlorobenzene. In a previous paper² we measured relative reactivity and apparent partial rate factors of anisole by the reaction between triphenylmethyl and di-p-methoxybenzoyl peroxide. We have reinvestigated this reaction in anisole using unsubstituted benzoyl peroxide and extended the study to reactions in toluene and in chlorobenzene. The reactions were performed under nitrogen at 25° in the dark and substituted tetraphenylmethanes formed were determined by the isotope dilution method as previously.² Separation and purification of analytical samples from the reaction mixture were only successful by the application of column chromatography. The results are given in Table 1. Isomer compositions of substituted tetraphenylmethanes, relative reactivities and apparent partial rate factors of anisole, toluene and chlorobenzene are calculated in Table 2. Major isomers of the substituted tetraphenylmethanes formed are always *meta* derivatives irrespective of the nature of the substituent groups in the aromatic substrate. The preference of *meta* triphenylmethylation decreases, however, in the order: anisole > toluene > chlorobenzene. Reactivities of anisole, toluene and chlorobenzene relative to benzene also decreases from 6.0 to 2.8 to 0.60. This reactivity series

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	React. No.	Solvent mole	Peroxide converted mmole	o-Subst. TPM mmole	m-Subst. TPM mmole	p-Subst. TPM mmole	TPM mmole
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				o-Methoxy	m-Methoxy	p-Methoxy	(H)
$ \begin{cases} 2.31 \\ Benzene \\ 2.81 \\ 2.81 \\ 2.81 \\ 4.0051 \\ 3.438 \\ 0.160 \\ 0.725 \\ 0.2$	1	(Anisole +	7.34	0-077	3.192	0.182	0.704
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		{ 2·31		±0.025	±0•094`	±0-046	±0•100
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	Benzene	6.87	0-051	3.438	0-160	0.725
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.81		±0.051	±0-094	±0-046	±0-050
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3	Anisole	7-48	0	3.882	0.091	_
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		4.62			±0-094	±0.046	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4		6.13	0.026	3.195	0.069	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				±0.051	±0.094	±0.046	
$ \begin{cases} 2.31 \\ Nitrobenzene \\ 2.46 \end{cases} \begin{array}{c} \pm 0.094 \\ \pm 0.051 \\ \pm 0.094 \\ \pm 0.094 \\ \pm 0.046 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \pm 0.046 \\ - \pm 0.051 \\ \pm 0.094 \\ \pm 0.046 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} - \pm 0.046 \\ - \pm 0.051 \\ \pm 0.094 \\ \pm 0.046 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} - \pm 0.046 \\ - \pm 0.046 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.046 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.044 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.044 \\ \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.023 \\ - \pm 0.046 \\ - \pm 0.023 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.031 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ - \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.028 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ - \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.025 \\ \pm 0.024 \\ \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.017 \\ - \pm 0.018 \\ - \pm $	5	(Anisole +	7.69	0	3.606	0.114	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.31			± 0·094	+0.046	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6	Nitrobenzene	7.36	0-026	3.713	0-114	_
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2.46		±0•051	±0-094	±0-046	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				o-Methyl	<i>m</i> -Methyl	p-Methyl	(H)
$\begin{cases} 0.43 \\ Benzene \\ 0.51 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.86 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.98 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.98 \\ 0.97 \\ 0.998 \\ 0.722 \\ 0.067 \\ 0.033 \\ 0.028 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.96 \\ 0.96 \\ 0.92 \\ 0.74 \\ 0.96 \\ 0.92 \\ 0.93$	7	(Toluene +	1.45	0.075	0-598	0-060	0.308
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		{ 0.43		±0-025	±0.044	±0-031	±0-023
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	Benzene	1-47	0-086	0-642	0-060	0.344
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0-51		±0.025	±0-046	±0-032	±0-023
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	Toluene	1.38	0-104	0.777	0-083	_
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.86		±0.025	+0-034	+0.024	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10		1.55	0-097	0.801	0-093	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				±0-025	±0-034	±0-024	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	(Toluene +	1.52	0-098	0.722	0-067	<u> </u>
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		{ 0.43		±0.025	+0-031	+0-024	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	Nitrobenzene	1.38	0-087	0.703	0-056	_
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.44		±0.025	±0-031	±0-024	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				o-Chloro	<i>m</i> -Chloro	p-Chloro	(H)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	13	(Chlorobenzene +	1.40	0-064	0-344	0-143	0-328
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2 0.74		±0•026	±0-024	±0-017	<u>+</u> 0-029
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	Benzene	1.35	0.063	0-293	0-136	0.331
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.28		±0.025	±0-018	±0.020	±0-028
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	Chlorobenzene	1.43	0.068	0.325	0.075	_
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.98		±0-025	±0-024	±0.017	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16		1-42	0.080	0-382	0-093	_
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				±0-025	±0.024	±0-017	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	(Chlorobenzene +	1.35	0-091	0-254	0-056	_
18(Nitrobenzene1.410.0700.2680.056 $-$ 0.49 ± 0.025 ± 0.024 ± 0.017		{ 0.49		±0-025	±0-021	±0-017	
± 0.025 ± 0.024 ± 0.017	18	(Nitrobenzene	1.41	0.070	0-268	0-056	_
		0-49		±0-025	±0-024	±0-017	

TABLE 1. YIELD (MMOLE) OF TETRAPHENYLMETHANES (TPM) BY THE REACTION OF TRIPHENYLMETHYL AND BENZOYL PEROXIDE IN ANISOLE, TOLUENE AND CHLOROBENZENE AT 25°

React.	Isomer comp		oʻ %	% Isomer ratio	L /L	Apparent part. rate		
No.	o —	<i>m</i> —	p —	(m)/(o + p)	~Агн/ ~Рън	f.	f _m	f,
1 - 2	1.6	93·6	4.8	14.7	6.0	0.30	16.9	1.72
3 - 4	0-4	97·4	2.2	37.4				
5 - 6	0-4	96-2	3.4	25.8				
1*- 2*	1.6	90-3	8 ∙1	9.0	5-4	0.26	14·7	2.62
3*- 4*	1.5	87.8	10.7	8-4				
5*- 6*	0.4	95-9	4 ·1	14				
7 - 8	10-6	81-5	7.9	4.40	2.82	0.90	6.85	1.32
9 -10	10.3	80-7	9-0	4.23				
11 -12	10.6	82·2	7.2	4.01				
13 -14	12·2	61·0	26-8	1.56	0-60	0.22	1.10	0-97
15 –16	14.5	69·2	16.3	2.24				
17 –18	20.2	65·8	14-0	1.93				

TABLE 2. ISOMER COMPOSITIONS, ISOMER RATIOS, RELATIVE REACTIVITIES AND APPARENT PARTIAL RATE FACTORS IN TRIPHENYLMETHYLATION REACTION

1*-6* were taken from the previous work.²

of aromatic solvents and the preferential *meta* triphenylmethylation shows a marked contradiction to the results reported.^{1c} These authors claimed that the reactivity of anisole and chlorobenzene relative to benzene is 1.8 and 1.3, respectively, and the isomer composition of substituted tetraphenylmethanes is of nearly statistical weight 2:2:1 for *ortho:meta:para* isomers.

Our reactivity series of aromatic substrates suggests an electrophilic nature of the attacking reagent in triphenylmethylation. Apparent partial rate factors are, however, not accounted for in terms of electrophilic substitution. Small f_o 's may arise from some sterically unfavourable situation of *ortho* positions towards a bulky attacking agent. As f_m is greater than f_p for each substrate, the attacking agent is probably nucleophilic.

The contradiction between relative reactivities and apparent partial rate factors for aromatic substrates in triphenylmethylation can be eliminated by postulating an intermediate benzoyloxycyclohexadienyl radical and by translating the apparent partial rate factors into relative abundance of isomeric intermediate radicals, C, D and E, in Chart 1. In this way we obtain the following relations (Eqs 6), assuming that the cyclohexadienyl radical formation is a rate-determining step in the triphenylmethylation:

$$2 \cdot f_{m} = F_{p} + 2 \cdot F_{o}$$

$$f_{o} = \alpha \cdot F_{m}$$

$$f_{p} = 2 \cdot (1 - \alpha) \cdot F_{m}$$

$$(6)$$

Here F_o , F_m and F_p denote the relative rates of formation of the isomeric cyclohexadienyl radical C, D and E from the benzoyloxy radical and aromatic molecule, respectively.

Consequently f_m should be controlled by F_p and F_o of benzoyloxylation reaction and f_p should be some fraction of F_m . The fraction coefficient α would depend on steric conditions in the reaction 3. In this way the ratio of $2 \cdot f_m$ to the sum of $2 \cdot f_o$ and f_p is equal to the ratio of the sum of F_p and $2 \cdot F_o$ to $2 \cdot F_m$, i.e. the ratio (meta isomer)/(ortho and para isomers) of substituted tetraphenylmethanes formed equals the ratio of the sum of F_p and $2 \cdot F_o$ to $2 \cdot F_m$. This enables us to obtain the ratio of the sum of ortho and para benzoyloxylation to meta benzoyloxylation of aromatic substrate from results of the triphenylmethylation reaction. The validity of the mechanism of triphenylmethylation proposed can be tested by comparing the ratio (meta isomer)/(ortho and para benzoyloxylation) of the substrate. In Table 3 the relative reactivities of aromatic substrates and the ratio (meta isomer)/(ortho and para isomers) of tetraphenylmethylation are summarized together with corresponding results of reference acyloxylation and hydroxylation.

k _{ArH} /k _{PhH}	Substrate	Triphenyl- methylation	Benzoyl- oxylation	Isopropoxy- carbonyl- oxylation	Hydroxylation	
	Anisole	6.0	6·27ª	25°	6-4°	
	Toluene	2.82	2·7ª	3·77 ^b	_	
			2·51 ^d	3·1*		
			2.3			
	Chlorobenzene	0-60	0.2ª	—	0-55	
Isomer Ratio	Sunstrate	(m)/(o + p) of Tetra- phenyl- methanes	Benzoyl- oxylation products	(o + p)/(m) of Isopropoxy- carbonyl- oxylation products	Hydroxylation products	
	Anisole	37	32* 99*	13–99°	inf. large ^c	
	Toluene	4.2-4.4	4·55 ⁴	5·7-6·6*	19 ⁴	
	Chlorobenzene	2.24			2.1.	

TABLE 3. COMPARISON OF RELATIVE REACTIVITIES OF SUBSTRATES AND ISOMER RATIOS OF TETRAPHENYL-METHANES IN TRIPHENYLMETHYLATION WITH THE CORRESPONDING RESULTS FROM ACYLOXYLATION AND HYDROXYLATION

^a Ref. 7. ^b Ref. 10. ^c Ref. 8. ^d Ref. 3. ^e T. Nakata, K. Tokumaru and O. Simamura, read at 21st Annual Meeting of Chem. Soc. Japan, April 1968 in Osaka. Preprint III p. 2067. ^J S. Hashimoto and others, read at 21st Annual Meeting of Chem. Soc. Japan, April 1968 in Osaka. Preprint III p. 2066. ^e B. M. Lynch and R. B. Moore, *Canad. J. Chem.* 40, 1461 (1962).

The relative reactivity of toluene in triphenylmethylation 2.82 and the ratio (*meta* isomer)/(ortho and para isomers) of methyltetraphenylmethanes 4.2-4.4 agree with that of benzoyloxylation 2.51 and with the ratio (ortho and para benzoyloxylation)/ (meta benzoyloxylation) 4.55 reported by Kurz and Kovacic.³ The benzoyloxylation conducted by Kurz and Kovacic using benzoyl peroxide in the presence of cupric chloride is believed to proceed through a rate-determining step—formation of the benzoyloxycclohexadienyl radical, followed by its rapid oxidation to phenyl benzoate.⁴ When the rate determining step of phenyl benzoate formation is that of hydrogen abstraction from the benzoyloxycclohexadienyl radical, a somewhat greater value than 2.8 of relative reactivity of toluene can be expected. Simamura et al. have reported a kinetic isotope effect $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ 2.1-2.3 in isopropoxycarbonyloxylation

using diisopropyl peroxydicarbonate in the presence of oxygen.⁵ A calculated relative reactivity of benzoyloxylation $k_{anisole}/k_{toluene}$ 3·2 from the results obtained by Simamura *et al.*⁶ is greater than our value 2·1 (= 6·0/2·82) from triphenylmethylation. The agreement between the relative reactivity as well as the isomer ratio from triphenylmethylation of toluene with those of the reported values from benzoyloxylation by Kurz and Kovacic³ favours the previously proposed mechanism² involving the same rate-determining step of benzoyloxycyclohexadienyl radical formation in triphenylmethylation.

Hashimoto and others⁷ reported another example of homolytic aromatic benzoyloxylation by the reaction of benzoyl peroxide in aromatic solvents in the presence of iodine. The reactivities of anisole, toluene and chlorobenzene relative to benzene were found to be 6.27, 2.7 and 0.2 respectively. This series of reactivity is consistent with our results and supports therefore our mechanism of triphenylmethylation involving benzoyloxycyclohexadienyl radicals as intermediate.

The relation of triphenylmethylation of aromatic substrate to isopropoxycarbonyloxylation will be mentioned later. It is worth while to note that triphenylmethylation resembles the hydroxylation by Fenton's reagent⁸ in relative reactivities of anisole and chlorobenzene and in the isomer ratio in the case of chlorobenzene as substrate.

In an effort to provide direct evidence of the benzoyloxycyclohexadienyl radical intermediate in triphenylmethylation, we attempted to isolate products such as F, which carry both benzoyloxy and triphenylmethyl groups on an aromatic nucleus. With this in mind we oxidized the reaction mixture with chloranil as in the decomposition of phenylazotriphenylmethane in benzene.⁹ We did not yet succeed in obtaining a product such as F from reactions between triphenylmethyl and benzoyl peroxide in benzene, anisole and also in benzene–anthracene as well as nitrobenzene–anthracene mixtures. The addition compound B is probably too unstable to be captured by chloranil and a quasi-concerted mechanism of addition–decomposition reactions to final products may be operating in reaction 3.

$$B \xrightarrow{\text{chloranil}} Ph COO \xrightarrow{X} CPh_3$$
(7)

Effect of peroxide on isomer composition. On the basis of the mechanism of triphenylmethylation proposed, we can deduce some information concerning the nature of intermediate acyloxy radicals from isomer composition of the methyltetraphenylmethanes. It has been shown² that electron withdrawing substituent groups introduced into the benzoyl peroxides increase the yield of tetraphenylmethane. The isomer composition of methyltetraphenylmethanes obtained by the reaction between substituted benzoyl peroxides and other diacyl peroxides in toluene are shown in Table 4.

When benzoyl peroxides are employed, the isomer composition remains the same irrespective of the substituent groups within experimental errors. The composition is also the same, (o/m/p = 10/76/14), when benzoyl benzyloxycarbonyl peroxide was decomposed by the triphenylmethyl. This suggests the mechanism of induced decomposition of the peroxide by triphenylmethyl giving rather the benzoyloxy radical

than the benzyloxycarbonyloxy radical. This conclusion is also supported by an isomer composition, (o/m/p = 6/87/7), obtained from reactions with dibenzyl or di-p+chlorobenzyl peroxydicarbonate in place of benzoyl peroxide. The latter isomer ratio merits some comment.

N	Perovida	Isomer composition (%)			
INO.	Feloxide	0	omer composition (74-0 75-4 74-8 75-6 77-5 76 87	Р	
1	Di-p-methoxybenzoyl peroxide	13.6	74-0	12-4	
2	Di-p-methylbenzoyl peroxide	13-2	75· 4	11.4	
3	Benzoyl peroxide	13-0	74-8	12-2	
4	Di-p-chlorobenzoyl peroxide	13-1	75 . 6	11-3	
5	Di-p-nitrobenzoyl peroxide	10-5	77.5	12-0	
6	Benzoyl benzylcarbonyl peroxide	10	76	14	
7	Dibenzyl peroxydicarbonate	6	87	7	
8	Di-p-chlorobenzyl peroxydicarbonate	6	87	7	

TABLE 4. EFFECT OF PEROXIDES ON THE ISOMER COMPOSITION OF METHYLTETRAPHENYLMETHANES"

^a Determined by the measurement of Me proton signal in pyridine. Observed values for an authentic mixture of $o = :m = :p = 15.7:69\cdot2:15\cdot1$ were $13\cdot9:71\cdot4:14\cdot8$. Experimental error was ± 2 .

Isopropoxycarbonyloxylation is rather more selective than benzoyloxylation, as shown by the value of relative reactivity 3.77 and of isomer ratio $5.76-6.6^{10}$ when toluene is used as substrate. The corresponding values of 2.51 and 4.55^3 in benzoyloxylation are given in Table 3. Triphenylmethylation of toluene in the presence of dibenzyl peroxydicarbonates (Table 4) gives the isomer ratio (*meta* isomer)/(*ortho* and *para* isomers) 6.6, which is in accord with that of isopropoxycarbonyloxylation of toluene 5.7-6.6 obtained by Kovacic and Kurz.¹⁰ This also suggests that the acyloxy-cyclohexadienyl radical is an intermediate in triphenylmethylation as in the case of benzoyloxylation.

The enhancement in *meta* methyltetraphenylmethane formation in the reaction of peroxydicarbonates means more dominant *ortho* and *para* orientations in the alkoxycarbonyloxycyclohexadienyl radicals than in the case of benzoyloxycyclohexadienyl radicals. The benzyloxycarbonyloxy radical is more electron seeking than the benzoyloxy radical and this also reveals the higher reaction velocity of induced decomposition of peroxydicarbonates by triphenylmethyl than that of benzoyl peroxide: the reaction of peroxydicarbonate is complete in a few minutes, but that of benzoyl peroxide takes about 10 minutes at room temperature.

EXPERIMENTAL*

Isotope dilution analysis. Isotope dilution analysis was performed as described.² ¹⁴C-labelled authentic samples of ortho, meta and para methyl- and chloro-tetraphenylmethanes were prepared from benzoic acid-carboxy-¹⁴C except for the o-chloro derivative, which was obtained from aniline-¹⁴C. The preparations were carried out as usual.^{1c}

For the synthesis of o-methyltetraphenylmethane- α -¹⁴C, benzophenone-carbonyl-¹⁴C was first prepared from benzoic acid-carboxy-¹⁴C over benzoyl chloride. The benzophenone was treated with o-methylphenylmagnesium bromide to afford o-methyltriphenylcarbinol-¹⁴C, m.p. 98–100°. The carbinol was converted to o-methyl-p'-aminotetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C, m.p. 178–181° by the Baeyer-Villiger reaction with aniline and the ¹⁴C-amine obtained was deaminated by NaNO₂ in acetic acid-hypophosphorous acid

* All melting points were not corrected.

to give o-methyltetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C, m.p. 191:5-192:5°. The authentic sample was purified by sublimation under reduced press, chromatography over aluminium oxide and recrystallization from EtOH. Over-all yield of o-methyltetraphenylmethane from benzoic acid was 22%. Radioactivity was 2843 ± 20 cpm/mg.

m-Methyltetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C was prepared from triphenylcarbinol- α -¹⁴C. Baeyer-Villiger reaction between the carbinol and o-toluidine gave m-methyl-p-aminotetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C, m.p. 211-213°, and the amine was deaminated to give m-methyltetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C, m.p. 165·5-166·5°, after sublimation, chromatography and recrystallization from EtOH. Over-all yield from benzoic acid was 12%. Radioactivity was 1898 ± 13 cpm/mg.

p-Methyltetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C was prepared in the same way as the ortho derivative. p-Methyltriphenylcarbinol-¹⁴C, m.p. 69–71°, obtained from benzophenone-carbonyl-¹⁴C and p-methylphenylmagnesium bromide, was converted into p-methyl-p'-aminotetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C, m.p. 176–185°, which was deaminated to give p-methyltetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C, m.p. 205:5–206:5°, after sublimation, chromatography and recrystallization from EtOAc. Over-all yield from benzoic acid was 6%. Radio-activity of the authentic sample was 1815 \pm 13 cpm/mg.

Preparation of o-chlorotetraphenylmethane-1⁴C was as follows: aniline hydrochloride-1⁴C was heated with a half mole o-chlorotriphenylcarbinol, m.p. 92:5–94:5°, obtained from methyl o-chlorobenzoate and PhMgBr, in glacial AcOH. The crude o-chloro-p'-aminotetraphenylmethane-1⁴C separated out during the reaction and the product was treated with Na₂CO₃-EtOH in order to remove the hydrochloride. A crystaline mass thus obtained was recrystallized by dissolving in ethanol and by diluting the soln with light petroleum (b.p. 40-60°). o-Chloro-p'-amino compound, m.p. 162–167°, was deaminated in the usual way to o-chlorotetraphenylmethane-1⁴C, m.p. 181–185°, which was further purified by sublimation, chromatography and repeated recrystallization from EtOH, m.p. of an authentic sample was 189:5–190-0°. Over-all yield from the triphenylcarbinol was 31%. Radioactivity was 2678 ± 20 cpm/mg.

For the preparation of *m*-chlorotetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C, triphenylcarbinol- α -¹⁴C was heated with 10% excess *o*-chloroaniline in AcOH-conc HCl. The warm mixture was poured into 2N Na₂CO₃ to yield a gummy product, which crystallized by treatment with benzene. *p*-Amino-*m*-chlorotetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C, m.p. 194-205°, was directly deaminated in acetone-conc HCl-hypophosphorous acid at -1°. During the deamination *m*-chlorotetraphenylmethane, m.p. 189-192.5°, separated out. The authentic sample of *m*-chloro derivative was obtained by further sublimation, chromatography and recrystallization from acetone, m.p. 1965-1970°. Radioactivity was 4061 ±21 cpm/mg. Over-all yield from carbinol was 39%.

To obtain p-chlorotetraphenylmethane- α -¹⁴C, triphenylcarbinol-¹⁴C was converted into p-aminotetraphenylmethane-¹⁴C by the Baeyer-Villiger reaction. The crude amino compound contained N-acetyl derivative, which was treated with EtOH-H₂SO₄ to afford the free base. The amine thus obtained, m.p. 230-240°, was diazotized in acetone-2N HCl at 3° and the diazotized soln was poured into a soln of cuprous chloride (3 moles) in conc HCl. After $\frac{1}{2}$ hr, the p-chloro compound separated out, and was recrystallized from DMF-MeOH (4:1) to give a product, m.p. 200-206°. This was further purified by sublimation, chromatography and recrystallization from EtOAc to give an authentic sample, m.p. 226-5-227-0°. Over-all yield from carbinol was 24%. Radioactivity was 4574 \pm 23 cpm/mg.

Authentic samples of ¹⁴C-labelled o-, *m*- and *p*-methoxytetraphenylmethanes used in this work were the same as used in previous work.²

Separation of isomeric tetraphenylmethanes from the reaction mixtures of peroxide and triphenylmethyl was difficult especially in the cases of o-methyl-, o-methoxy-, o- and p-chloro-tetraphenylmethanes and it was only successful by a chromatographic technique through silica-gel column 25×450 mm using benzene-n-hexane (1:4) eluent.

Radioactivity was determined on a Beckman LS-200B scintillation counter in toluene soln using dimethyl-POPOP-PPO scintillator.

Determination of isomer composition of o-, m- and p-methyltetraphenylmethanes by proton counting. Determination of isomer composition of methyltetraphenylmethanes was conveniently performed by measuring the Me proton signal at room temp on a Varian A60 spectrometer using pyridine soln. The chemical shifts of Me protons of authentic methyltetraphenylmethanes from internal TMS standard are tau: 8-26, 7-86 and 7-78 for ortho, meta and para derivatives, respectively. The mean values obtained from more than 3 measurements are given in Table 4.

For the proton counting, the mixture was first treated with NaI to decompose the excess peroxide, then boiled for $\frac{1}{2}$ hr with 2N HCl and the neutral product thus obtained was purified through a column of aluminium oxide using a n-hexane-benzene mixture.

Peroxides. Substituted benzoyl peroxides were prepared from the corresponding benzoyl chloride and sodium peroxide by the method of Swain *et al.*¹¹ or Blomquist and Buselli.¹² The peroxides have the following m.ps: di-*p*-methoxybenzoyl peroxide, 127–129°, dec; di-*p*-methylbenzoyl peroxide, 136–137°, dec; di-*p*-chlorobenzoyl peroxide, 140–141°, dec; di-*p*-nitrobenzoyl peroxide, 153·5–154·5°, dec. Benzoyl benzyloxycarbonyl peroxide was prepared by the reaction between sodium peroxybenzoate and benzyl chloroformate based on the method of Waters and Dodonow,¹³ m.p. 67–69·5°. Purity by iodometry¹⁴ was 97%. (Found : C, 65·60; H, 4·65. Calc for C₁₅H₁₂O₅: C, 66·17; H, 4·44%). Dibenzyl peroxydicarbonate was obtained by the reaction between benzyl chloroformate and sodium peroxide according to the method of Strain and others,¹⁵ m.p. 101–102°, dec. Purity was 98%. (Found : C, 63·89; H, 4·78. Calc for C₁₆H₁₄O₆: C, 63·57; H, 4·67%). Di-*p*-chlorobenzyl peroxydicarbonate was prepared in the same way as dibenzyl peroxydicarbonate from *p*-chlorobenzyl chloroformate, m.p. 106°, dec. (Found : C, 51·39; H, 3·02. Calc for C₁₆H₁₂O₆Cl₂: C, 51·80; H, 3·27%).

Acknowledgement—The authors wish to thank Prof. O. Simamura for his kind encouragement and helpful discussions throughout this work and Dr. N. Morikawa for measurements of radioactivity.

- ¹ ^a S. S. Medwedew and E. N. Alexejewa, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 65, 137 (1932);
- ^b H. Wieland, T. Ploetz and H. Indest, Liebigs Ann. 532, 166 (1937);
- ^e R. A. Benkeser and W. Schroeder, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 80, 3314 (1958);
- ⁴ W. von E Doering, K. Okamoto and H. Krauch, Ibid. 82, 3579 (1960);
- ^e T. Suehiro, A. Kanoya, H. Hara, T. Nakahama, M. Omori and T. Komori, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan 40, 668 (1967).
- ² T. Suchiro, A. Kanoya, T. Yamauchi, T. Komori and S. Igeta, Tetrahedron 24, 1551 (1968).
- ³ M. E. Kurz and P. Kovacic, J. Org. Chem. 33, 1953 (1968).
- ⁴ M. E. Kurz, P. Kovacic, A. K. Bose and I. Kugajevsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 1819 (1968).
- ⁵ T. Nakata, K. Tokumaru and O. Simamura, read at 22nd Annual Meeting of Chem. Soc. Japan, April 1969 in Tokyo. Preprint III p. 1724.
- ⁶ T. Nakata, K. Tokumaru and O. Simamura, Tetrahedron Letters 3303 (1967).
- ⁷ S. Hashimoto, M. Yamamoto, K. Koike and T. Minamino, read at 22nd Annual Meeting of Chem. Soc. Japan, April 1969 in Tokyo. Preprint III p. 1725.
- ⁸ R. O. C. Norman and G. K. Radda, Proc. Chem. Soc. 138 (1962).
- ⁹ D. H. Hey, M. J. Perkin and G. H. Williams, Tetrahedron Letters 445 (1963).
- ¹⁰ P. Kovacic and M. E. Kurz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 2068 (1966).
- ¹¹ C. G. Swain, W. H. Stockmayer and J. T. Clark, *Ibid.* 72, 5426 (1950).
- ¹² A. T. Blomquist and A. J. Buselli, *Ibid.* 73, 2882 (1951).
- ¹³ V. A. Dodonow and W. A. Waters, J. Chem. Soc. 2461 (1965).
- ¹⁴ J. P. Wibaut, H. B. Van Leeuwen and B. van der Wal, Rec. Trav. chim. 73, 1033 (1954).
- ¹⁵ F. Strain, W. E. Bissinger, W. R. Dial, H. Rudoff, B. J. DeWitt, H. C. Stevens and J. H. Langstone, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 72, 1254 (1950).